The EM Signals of ns Mergers – The Lightening that follows the Thunder

Tsvi Piran

The Racah Institute of Physics, Jerusalem Israel Doron Grossman, Oleg Korobkin, Stephan Rosswog Ehud Nakar, David Wanderman



Wednesday, October 9, 13

ns (or nsbh or bhbh) mergers => Gravitational waves



ALIGO Virgo and Kagra will become operatioan in 2016, 2018 and 2020.

Big Dog probability sky map



Why EM signal? (Kochaneck & Piran 1993)

Improve detectability (and confidence)
Essential for localization
Much more physics

Gamma Ray Bursts



Long (and soft) and short (and hard)



Traditional division at 2 seconds (based on BATSE data)

The photons of short GRBs have higher energies



Wednesday, October 9, 13

Eichler, Livio, TP, Schramm, 88

MacFadyen & Woosley, 98



NS mergers

Collapsars

Indirect Observations



Direct Observations

Short GRBs Some Elliptical hosts -> Old stellar population



Swift/XRT position intersects a bright <u>elliptical</u> at z = 0.226

Bloom et al. 2005 Castro-Tirado et al. 2005 Gehrels et al. 2005 Hjorth et al. 2005

Not all short GRBs are "short" (Bromberg + 12)



And some long are "short"

The rate of Short GRBs (Guetta TP, 05 Nakar + 05,..., Coward + 12, Wanderman & TP 13)



Short GRBs don't follow the SFR!

Rate estimates (Wanderman & TP in preparation)

R ≈ 14 ⁽⁹⁻²⁴⁾ Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹ τ ≈ 3.5 ⁽³⁻⁴⁾ Gyr

This rate depends critically on the <u>assumed</u> lowest luminosity! (we use 2x10⁴⁹ ergs/sec)

The actual rate depends on a poorly constrained beaming factor (30?). NOT INCLUDED HERE!

GRB130603B @ z=0.356

@GRB 130603B at z=0.356 fits perfectly the expectations based on the previous analysis (parameters don't change when this burst is added to the data and the margin Prelimin narrows!)

Short GRB Rate (Guetta TP, 05 Nakar + 05,..., Coward + 12, Wanderman & TP 13)



All Swift short GRBs

EM Counterparts GRBs are beamed (1/30?)



Prompt GRB + Afterglow

Orphan afterglow – late off axis emission

Orpha afterglow will be too weak

ns mergers eject 0.01–0.1 M_{sun} E_k ~ 10⁵⁰–10⁵¹ ergs



Stephan Rosswog

Wednesday, October 9, 13

Macronova

Paczynski & Li 1997; Kulkarni 05; Metzger + 10, ...

 Radioactive decay of the neutron rich matter (source of r process nucleosynthesis).

E_{radioactive} ≈ 0.001 Mc² ≈ 10⁵⁰ erg (mostly goes to acceleration of the ejecta to 0.05c)

Radioactive Decay Korobkin + 13; Rosswog, Korobkin + 13



 After a second DE/dt < t^{-1.3} (Freiburghaus+ 1999; Korobkin + 2013)



Wednesday, October 9, 13



Macronova emission

Photons escape from this region

 $\tau = c/v$

Wednesday, October 9, 13

Early ns² macronova light curves



TP, Nakar, Rosswog, 13

More detailed estimates Grossman Korobkin TP Rosswog, 13



Radiation diffused from $\tau = c/v$ surfaces and escapes from $\tau = 2/3$ surfaces

Homologous evolution -> $\tau \propto t^{-2}$



• $t_{max} \approx \sqrt{[M \kappa / (vc)]} \approx day$

• $L_{max} \approx E_{rad} (t_{max}/1 \text{ sec})^{-1.3} \approx 10^{40-42} \text{ erg/sec}$

Effective temperatures of the photosphere



Opacity dominated by Lanthanides (Kasen + 13)

1.2 - 1.01.4-1.0 \odot $K = 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{gm}$ 10⁴⁷ $\odot t_{\rm max} \propto \kappa^{1/2}$ (longer) \odot L_{max} \propto K ^{-0.65} (weaker) $OT \propto K^{-0.4}$ (redder) 10⁴¹



.4 - 1.4

Bolometric light curves



neutrino driven winds



Different Y_e, different nucleosynthsis, different opacity: $K = 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{gm}$

neutrino driven winds – lightcurves



Combined macronova signal



Detectability

aLIGO will provide a 100 deg² error box The Dynamical ejecta IR signal @ @ 300 Mpc -> M_H≈23.5-24.5 (-1 at optimal viewing angle) on a time scale of a few days Rapid follow up is impossible in the IR. neutrino driven wind UV/Blue signal @ @ 300 Mpc -> M_H≈23.7-24.2 on a time scale of a < day
 </p> Possible with SHC on subaru or continous cover with ZTF or equivalent.

Detection strategy

Deep search in the optical using HSC or multiple exposures on a very wide field telescope (ZTF).

With detection deep localized search in the near IR

Blind searches in Optical and clearly in IR are hopeless (a few single event detections per year with the LSST).

GRB130603B @ z=0.356



HST image (Tanvir + 13)



From Tanvir + 13

GRB130603B @ z=0.356 nIR transient

Consistent with Barnes & Kasen (13) and Tanaka & Hotozoka (13)

Sut Both groups overestimate radioactive heating rate by a factor of 3-5

The expected signal is slightly too large



00



If correct

Confirmation of the neutron star merger model for short GRBs (Eichler, Livio, Piran & Schramm 1989)
R process nucleosynthesis

The ejected mass is about 0.1 M_{sun}

- Neutron star mergers are the source of rprocess nucleo synthesis (Gold, Silver, Platinum, Plotonium, Uranium etc...)
- $M_{r-proc} = 10^{-4} M_{sun} = 0.1 M_{sun} T R_{merger}$ => $R_{merger} = 10^{-5}/yr/MWgalaxy = 100 /yr/Gpc^{3}$

Consistent with merger rate estimates

Early nucleosynthesis – a challenge



A population of fast mergers?

Figure 6. Europium abundance in a large sample of old and young stars, age being inferred from Fe abundance. The halo star HD 122563 is almost as Fe-poor as CS 22892-052, and therefore presumably just about as old, but it has much less Eu, an element made only in the r-process. The red line is a least-square-fit to the data, and the gray flanking curves indicate decreasing scatter in the data with increasing time. Numerical conventions are as in figure 5. Zero on the abscissa means Fe abundance like that of the 4.6-billion-year-old Sun.

From Cowan and Thielemann



Radio Flares Nakar & TP 2011; TP, Nakar Rosswog 2013

Interaction of the sub or mildly relativistic outflow with the ISM produces a long lived radio flare

Supernova -> SNR macronova -> Radio Flare







Radio Supernova e.g. 1998bw (Chevalier 98)



 $e_e = \varepsilon_e e$ $e_{\rm B}=B^2/8\pi=\varepsilon_{\rm B}e$ $N(x) \propto x^{-p}$ for $x > x_{m}$ p=2.5 - 3 $\gamma_{m} = (m_p/m_e)e_e (\Gamma - 1)$ $v = (3/4\pi) eB \chi^2$ $F_{v}=(\sigma_{T}c/e)N_{e}B$

Time Frequency and Intensity (Nakar & TP Nature, 2011)

$$t_{\rm dec} = \frac{R_{\rm dec}}{c\beta_0} \approx 30 \,\mathrm{d} \, E_{49}^{1/3} n^{-1/3} \beta_0^{-5/3}$$

$$\nu_{\mathrm{m,dec}} \equiv \nu_{\mathrm{m}}(t_{\mathrm{dec}}) \approx 1 \,\mathrm{GHz} \, n^{1/2} \epsilon_{B,-1}^{1/2} \epsilon_{\mathrm{e},-1}^2 \beta_0^5$$

$$F_{\nu_{\text{obs},\text{peak}}}(\nu_{a,\text{dec}},\nu_{m,\text{dec}} < \nu_{\text{obs}})$$

$$\approx 0.3 \text{ mJy } E_{49}n^{\frac{p+1}{4}} \epsilon_{B,-1}^{\frac{p+1}{4}} \epsilon_{e,-1}^{p-1} \beta_0^{\frac{5p-7}{2}} d_{27}^{-2} \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{obs}}}{1.4 \text{ GHz}}\right)^{-\frac{p-7}{2}}$$

ns² radio flares



dominated by high velocity ejecta

Wednesday, October 9, 13

nsbh radio flares



dominated by high velocity ejecta

Wednesday, October 9, 13

typical values

Masses	$n = 1 \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$				$n = 0.1 \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$			
	1.4 GHz		150 MHz		1.4 GHz		150 MHz	
	F_{ν} (peak) ^a	t (peak)a						
(M _☉)	(mJy)	(yr)	(mJy)	(yr)	(µЈу)	(yr)	(µJy)	(yr)
1.4-1.2	0.09	4	0.5	4	10	9	50	9
1.4-1.4	0.04	1.5	0.2	2	5	3	30	3
1.4-2.0	0.3	5	2	6	50	10	200	10
1.4-10	1.5	4	4	8	200	10	1000	10

radio flare uncertainty

External density 1 cm⁻³:) or 10⁻³ cm⁻³:(?

 High velocity ejecta: neutrino winds, GRB jet, shock between the two ns (Shibata + 12) F_p ∝ β^{(5p-7)/2} ∝ β⁴! t ∝ β^{-5/3}

High velocity ejecta could produce other signatures like a regular afterglow (x-ray, etc..)

Contamination: Radio Supernova



Tycho's supernova remnant seen at radio wavelengths

Different spectrum
 Identification of an optical counterpart

Tidal disruption events (?)

Wednesday, October 9, 13









Regime	$F_{\nu_{obs},peak}/F_{m,dec}$	t_{peak}/t_{dec}	$F_{\nu_{obs}}$	$F^{\dagger}_{\nu_{obs}}$
			$t > t_{peak}$	$t < t_{peak}$
$\nu_{m,dec}, \nu_{a,dec} < \nu_{obs}$	$(\nu_{obs}/\nu_{m,dec})^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}$	1	$\propto t^{-rac{15p-21}{10}}$	$\propto t^3$
$\nu_{eq} < \nu_{obs} < \nu_{m,dec}$	$(\nu_{obs}/\nu_{m,dec})^{-1/5}$	$(\nu_{obs}/\nu_{m,dec})^{-1/3}$	$\propto t^{-\frac{15p-21}{10}}$	$\propto t^{\frac{8}{5}}$
$\nu_{obs} < \nu_{eq}, \nu_{a,dec}$	$\nu_{m,dec}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ $\nu_{a,dec}^{-\frac{3(p+4)(5p-7)}{10(3p-2)}}$ $\nu_{obs}^{\frac{(32p-47)}{5(3p-2)}}$	$(\nu_{obs}/\nu_{a,dec})^{-\frac{4+p}{3p-2}}$	$\propto t^{-rac{15p-21}{10}}$	$\propto t^{\frac{3}{2}}$



Radio Search



Radio facilities for GW-EM Counterpart Searches: EVLA

- The 500-lb gorilla of radio astronomy
- 27 25-m antennas
- Upgrade project almost finished.
 Will deliver order of magnitude increase in continuum sensitivity
- I-50 GHz + 74 and 327 MHz
- I-hrs, rms~7 uJy at I.4 GHz
- Responds to external triggers
- Sub-arrays can be used to image a large (irregular) error box









Radio facilities for GW-EM Counterpart Searches

Radio Facility	Observing Freq.	Field of View	1 hr rms	Beam	Start Date
ASKAP	1.4 GHz	30 deg ²	30 uJy	20″	2013
Apertif	1.4 GHz	8 deg ²	50 uJy	15″	2013
MeerKAT	1.4 GHz	1.5 deg ²	35 uJy	15″	2013
EVLA	1.4 GHz	0.25 deg ²	7 uJy	1.3-45″	2010
EVLA	327 MHz	5 deg ²	2 mJy	5-18″	2011
LOFAR	110-240 MHz	50 deg ²	1 mJy	5″	2011
EVLA	74 MHz	100 deg ²	50 mJy	25-80"	2011
MWA	80-300 MHz	1000 deg ²	8 mJy	300"	2011+
LOFAR	15-80 MHz	500 deg ²	8 mJy	120″	2011

Wednesday, October 9, 13

(Only Apertif, EVLA, LOFAR has demonstrated noise perfprmance)

Dale Frail

Detection

1.4 GHz

$$F_{\nu_{obs},peak}(\nu_{a,dec},\nu_{m,dec}<\nu_{obs}) \approx 0.3 \text{ mJy } E_{49}n^{\frac{p+1}{4}}\epsilon_{B,-1}^{p-1}\epsilon_{e,-1}^{p-1}(\Gamma_{0}-1)^{\frac{5p-7}{4}}d_{27}^{-2}\left(\frac{\nu_{obs}}{1.4 \text{ GHz}}\right)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

$$t_{dec} = \frac{R_{dec}}{c\beta_{0}} \approx 30 \text{ day } E_{49}^{1/3}n^{-1/3}(\Gamma_{0}-1)^{-5/6}.$$

$$150 \text{ MHz}$$

$$t_{peak}(\nu_{obs} < \nu_{eq},\nu_{a,dec}) \approx 200 \text{ day } E_{49}^{\frac{51}{11}}n^{\frac{7}{22}}\epsilon_{B,-1}^{\frac{9}{22}}\epsilon_{e,-1}^{\frac{9}{22}}\left(\frac{\nu_{obs}}{150 \text{ MHz}}\right)^{\frac{13}{11}},$$

$$F_{\nu_{obs},peak}(\nu_{obs} < \nu_{eq},\nu_{a,dec}) \approx 50 \ \mu\text{Jy } E_{49}^{\frac{4}{9}}n^{\frac{1}{5}}\epsilon_{B,-1}^{\frac{1}{5}}\epsilon_{e,-1}^{\frac{3}{2}}d_{27}^{-2}\left(\frac{\nu_{obs}}{150 \text{ MHz}}\right)^{\frac{6}{5}}.$$

Search for long lived Radio Flares may discover the rate of Neutron star mergers with implications to short GRBs and the detection of Gravitational Radiation



 $N_{all-sky}(1.4 \text{GHz}) \approx 20 E_{49}^{11/6} n^{\frac{9p-1}{24}} \epsilon_{B,-1}^{\frac{3(p+1)}{8}} \epsilon_{e,-1}^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2}} (\Gamma_0 - 1)^{\frac{45p-83}{24}} \mathcal{R}_{300} F_{lim,-1}^{-3/2} .$



Detectability

Table 1 | Observing radio flares

Radio facility	Observing	Field of	One-hour	One-hour detection horizon*			
	frequency (GHZ)	view (deg)	r.m.s.* (µJy)	E49	$\beta_i \approx 1,$ = 1, $n_0 = 1$	$\beta_i \approx 1, E_{49} = 10, n_0 = 1$	
EVLA ASKAP MeerKAT Apertif LOFAR	1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 0.15	0.25 30 1.5 8 20	7 30 35 50 1,000	5 5 4	1 Gpc 00 Mpc 00 Mpc 00 Mpc 35 Mpc	3.3 Gpc 1.6 Gpc 1.6 Gpc 1.25 Gpc 90 Mpc	
				-		Ten-hour detection horiz	
				$\beta_i = n_0$	0.2, <i>E</i> ₄₉ = = 1, <i>p</i> = 2.	10, $\beta_i \approx 1, E_{49} = 1,$ 5 $n_0 = 10^{-3}, p = 2$	
				370 Mpc 140 M 180 Mpc 70 M 165 Mpc 65 M 140 Mpc 50 M 70 Mpc 20 M		140 Mpc 70 Mpc 65 Mpc 50 Mpc 20 Mpc	



 A long lived (months-year) strong (sub-mJy) radio remnant of a compact binary merger is a robust prediction.

4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

- With typical parameters 1.4GHz is the optimal observation band
- The signal depends on the energy of the outflow, its Lorentz factor and the surrounding circum-merger density.
- The outflow parameters can be determined from neutron star simulations.
- It is relatively easy to test this hypothesis by radio searches (work in progress)

Summary

Macronova - uv/optical <1 day 24 Mag @ 300 Mpc
followed by nIR 4-10 days 25 Mag @ 300 Mpc
Radio flare - sub mJy at 1.4 GHz (lower at 150Mhz - LOFAR) easily detectable in followup. Excellent candidates for blind search in the radio that can determine the rate of ns² merges. But warning about ambient density.

END

Wednesday, October 9, 13

Route to GRBs



The Collapsar Model (MacFadyen & Woosley 1998)



The Jet drills a hole in the star Model

Endergen 2004

Wednesday, October 9, 13

Model 3P3, 8s



Jet breakout time (Bromberg Nakar, TP, Sari 11 ApJ 2011)

$$t_b \simeq 15 \sec \left(\frac{L_{iso}}{10^{51} \text{ erg/sec}} \right)^{-1/3} \left(\frac{\theta}{10^{\circ}} \right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{R_*}{5R_{\odot}} \right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{M_*}{15M_{\odot}} \right)^{1/3}$$

The engine must be active until the jet's head breaks out!*

A prediction of the Collapsar model



A second look at dN/dT



A direct observational proof of the Collapsar model.

Short (Non-Collapsars) GRBs







Wednesday, October 9, 13
Renormalization of BATSE fit to the 3 hardness ratio subgroups



Swift Short (Non-Collapsars) GRBs



Short Swift GRBs with T₉₀>0.7sec are not "short"!

Wednesday, October 9, 13

Not all short GRBs are "short" (Bromberg + 12)



And some long are "short"

Low luminosity GRBs - *ll*GRBs don't arise from Collapsars



A Failed Jet (Obergaulinger, Piran + 13)



Opening angle of 15° degrees at 2000 km into a star of 15 solar masses and solar metallicity. Constant energy injection rate, 5*10⁵⁰erg/s, for 2 seconds.

t = 0.00

V_{mat} = 3.00×10⁺¹⁰

What makes a *ll*GRBs?

A weak jet that fails to break out - "a failed GRB".

We observe the shock breakout form the stellar envelope (Colgate, 1967; Katz, Budnik, Waxman, 2010; Nakar & Sari, 2011)



Energy release

Shock accelerates in steep density gradient

Observations

Theory

GRB	E _{bo} (erg)	T _{bo} (keV)	t _{bo} (s)	t _{bo} (s)	R _{bo} (cm)	γβbo
980425	1048	150	30	10	6·10 ¹²	3
031203	5·10 ⁴⁹	>200	30	<35	2·10 ¹³	>4
060218	5·10 ⁴⁹	40	2100	1500	5·10 ¹³	1
100316D	5·10 ⁴⁹	40	1300	1500	5·10 ¹³	1



The Relativistic breakout relation